



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/507,212 | 02/18/2000 | Seiji Yamashita | P 00 572.006 | 8199 |

7590

03/14/2002

Garth Janke
Birdwell & Janke & durando,p PLC
1100 SW SITH AVENUE , SUITE 1400
Portland, OR 97204

EXAMINER

TRAN, THAO T

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

1741

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/507,212 | | YAMASHITA, SEIJI | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Thao T. Tran | | 1741 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Thao T. Tran. (3) Garth Janke.
 (2) Debra Blyveis. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Goto et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained the difference between the claims and Goto et al. Applicant will come back with a change in the claim language to distinguish the claims from the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Thao Tran

Examiner's signature, if required